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## 1 Linear Transformations

Let's begin by recalling the definition of a linear transformation.
Definition 1.1. A linear transformation is a mapping $T$ (that is, a function) from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ (for some $n$ and $m$ ) with the following two properties:

1. $T(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})=T(\mathbf{u})+T(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ in the domain of $T$;
2. $T(c \mathbf{u})=c T(\mathbf{u})$ for all scalars $c$ and all $\mathbf{u}$ in the domain of $T$.

Recall that the function $T$ defined by $T(\mathbf{x})=A \mathbf{x}$ (where $A$ is a matrix) is a linear transformation.

Example 1.2. The function $T$ defined by

$$
T\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{2} \\
v_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a linear transformation. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) & =T\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1}+w_{1} \\
v_{2}+w_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{2}+w_{2} \\
v_{1}+w_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{2} \\
v_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{2} \\
w_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =T\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)+T\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the first property of linear transformations. The second property is proved similarly.

In fact, $T$ is just the function defined by $T(\mathbf{v})=A \mathbf{v}$, where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 1.3. Let the vector

$$
\mathbf{a}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and define a mapping $T$ by

$$
T(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{a}
$$

Then $T$ has domain $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and codomain $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. $T$ is not a linear transformation. The easiest way to see this is to note that

$$
T(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}
$$

Since a linear transformation always maps the zero vector to the zero vector, we see $T$ cannot be a linear transformation.

## 2 Matrix of a linear transformation

First, I am going to introduce a standard notation.
Definition 2.1. Consider $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ for some $n$. We denote by $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ the vector with a 1 in the $i$ th position and a 0 everywhere else. So for instance, in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}=[01]
$$

Note that the actual vector denoted by, for instance, $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ is dependent on which $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ we are working in.

In this section, we will show that every linear transformation $T$ actually has the form $T(\mathbf{v})=A \mathbf{v}$ for some matrix $A$. The technique is best illustrated by an example.

Example 2.2. Let $T$ be a linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Suppose that

$$
T\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Find a matrix $A$ such that $T(\mathbf{v})=A \mathbf{v}$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Note that, if

$$
\mathbf{v}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{v}=v_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}+v_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2} .
$$

So we have, by the properties of linear transformations, that

$$
\begin{align*}
T(\mathbf{v}) & =v_{1} T\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+v_{2} T\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& =v_{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+v_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{v} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathbf{v}$ was an arbitrary vector, we see that $T$ has the form of multiplication by a matrix $A$, where $A$ is the matrix appearing on line (??) above.

The above example illustrates the general principle. It is not hard to turn the reasoning of the example into a proof of the "exists" part of the following theorem (the "unique" part is left as an exercise in Lay. We do it at the end of these notes):

Theorem 2.3. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a linear transformation. Then there exists a unique matrix $A$ such that

$$
T(\mathbf{v})=A \mathbf{v} \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

In fact, $A$ is the $m \times n$ matrix whose columns are given by the images of the vectors $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left[T\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right) T\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \ldots T\left(\mathbf{e}_{n}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call the matrix $A$ appearing in (??) the standard matrix for the linear transformation $T$.

At this point, Lay shows a number of examples of linear transformations. You should read this, but it would be quite boring to present in class. So we will skip ahead a bit.

## 3 "Onto", "One-to-one"

There are two properties of functions which you have probably seen versions of in calculus which will be important in our study of linear transformations.

Definition 3.1. A mapping $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called onto $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ if every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is the image of at least one $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

That is, a mapping $T$ is onto if, and only if, the equation $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{b}$ has a solution for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Using the standard matrix for $T$ allows us to state this in another way:

Theorem 3.2. Let $T$ be a linear transformation and $A$ be its standard matrix. $T$ maps $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ if and only if the columns of $A$ span $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Proof. $T$ is onto if and only if $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{b}$ has a solution for every vector $\mathbf{b}$. Using the standard matrix, this is equivalent to saying that the equation

$$
A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}
$$

has a solution for every $\mathbf{b}$. Using the definition of the product $A \mathbf{x}$, we see that $A \mathbf{x}$ is a linear combination of the columns of $A$. Thus, $T$ maps $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ if and only if the columns of $A$ span $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Definition 3.3. A mapping $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called one-to-one if each $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is the image of at most one $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

That is, a mapping $T$ is one-to-one if, and only if, for every $\mathbf{b}$ the equation $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{b}$ has either one solution or no solutions.

As with most of what we have learned in Chapter 1 of Lay, determining whether some $T$ is one-to-one, onto, or both can be boiled down to computing the RREF for appropriate matrices.

Example 3.4. Let $T$ be the linear transformation with standard matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Is $T$ one-to-one? Does $T$ map $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ?
Recall that the columns $A$ span $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ if and only if there is a pivot position in each row of $A$. We compute the RREF of $A$, which turns out to be

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

There is a pivot position in each row, so the columns of $A$ span $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Therefore, $T$ is onto.

This RREF also shows us that $T$ is one-to-one. It is a little trickier to explain why. Note that $T$ is one-to-one if and only if the equation $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ has no more than one solution for every $\mathbf{b}$. Now, when we check for solutions of $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$, we write the augmented matrix which looks like $A$ except which has $\mathbf{b}$ appended as an extra column. When computing the RREF of this matrix, we will find three pivots (because we found three pivots in the computation of the RREF of $A$ ). So there will be no free variables. This implies that there is at most one solution.

The method we used above to check whether $T$ was one-to-one is perhaps a little opaque. Fortunately, a simpler way to check whether a linear transformation is one-to-one is provided by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a linear transformation. Then $T$ is one-to-one if and only if the equation $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution.

So to check whether a given $T$ is one-to-one, we just have to check that there are no nontrivial solutions to $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$, where $A$ is the standard matrix for $T$.

Proof of Theorem ??. Suppose $T$ is one-to-one. Then the equation $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ has only one solution. Since the trivial solution is guaranteed to be a solution, it follows that $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution.

On the other hand, suppose that $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ has only the trivial solution, and assume that $T$ is not one-to-one. Then there exists some $\mathbf{b}$ and two
vectors $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$ such that $T(\mathbf{u})=T(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{b}$. Using the preceding equation gives us

$$
T(\mathbf{u})-T(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{0}
$$

But since $T$ is linear, this implies

$$
T(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{0}
$$

Since $\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, this implies that $T(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{0}$ has a nontrivial solution, a contradiction. Therefore, $T$ must be one-to-one.

Using the standard matrix for $T$, we can restate the preceding theorem.
Theorem 3.6. A linear transformation $T$ is one-to-one if and only if the columns of its standard matrix $A$ are linearly independent.

## 4 A "challenging" problem

In this section, we will briefly work the "hard" problem from Lay (Section 1.9, problem 33) of showing that the standard matrix for a linear transformation is unique. So suppose a linear transformation $T$ has two standard matrices $A$ and $B$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mathbf{x}=T(\mathbf{x})=B \mathbf{x} \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Number the columns of the matrices:

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} \ldots & \mathbf{a}_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\left[\mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2} \ldots \mathbf{b}_{n}\right] .
$$

Now, by (??), we have that $A \mathbf{e}_{1}=B \mathbf{e}_{1}$. Since $A \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and similarly for $B$, we have that $\mathbf{a}_{1}=\mathbf{b}_{1}$. Repeating this for each column gives that $\mathbf{a}_{i}=\mathbf{b}_{i}$ for every number $i$. This implies that $A=B$.

