## M403 Homework 3

## Enrique Areyan September 12, 2012

(1.28)

- (i) **True**.  $\binom{7}{1} = \binom{7}{6} = 7; \binom{7}{2} = \binom{7}{5} = 7 \cdot 3; \binom{7}{3} = \binom{7}{4} = 7 \cdot 5$
- (ii) **False**. For n = 10 and r = 2 we have that:  $\binom{10}{2} = \frac{10!}{8!2!} = \frac{90}{2} = 45$  is not a multiple of n = 10
- (iii) **True**. There are  $\binom{10}{4}$  quartets of dogs and  $\binom{10}{6}$  sextets of cats. By symmetry  $\binom{10}{4} = \binom{10}{6}$
- (vi) **True**. A direct consequence of corollary 1.28 setting  $q = \frac{k}{n}$
- (v) **True.** Let  $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ . Let z be a complex number such that  $f(z) = az^2 + bz + c = 0$ . Then,

$$0 = \overline{0} = \overline{az^2 + bz + c} = \overline{az^2} + \overline{bz} + \overline{c} = \overline{a}\overline{z^2} + \overline{b}\overline{z} + \overline{c}$$

But, if a, b, c are real numbers, then  $a = \overline{a}; b = \overline{b}; c = \overline{c}$ , hence,  $0 = a\overline{z^2} + b\overline{z} + c = f(\overline{z}) \iff \overline{z}$  is a root of f(x)

- (vi) **False**. Let  $f(x) = 0x^2 + ix + 1$ . Then *i* is a root of f(x) since  $f(i) = i^2 + 1 = -1 + 1 = 0$ . But,  $f(\bar{i}) = f(-i) = -i^2 + 1 = 1 + 1 = 2$ . Hence  $\bar{i}$  is not a root of f(x).
- (vii) **True**. Because  $i^4 = i^2 i^2 = -1 \cdot -1 = 1$  and  $i^1 = i, i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i$ .  $(-i)^4 = (-i)^2 (-i)^2 = -1 \cdot -1 = 1$  and  $(-i)^1 = -i, (-i)^2 = -1, (-i)^3 = i$ .

(1.34)

$$\frac{n}{r} \binom{n-1}{r-1} = \frac{n}{r} \left( \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-(r-1))!(r-1)!} \right) \\ = \frac{n(n-1)!}{(n-1-r+1)!(r-1)!} \\ = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!} \\ = \binom{n}{r}$$

Pascal definition Multiplication Associativity and Commutativity Arithmetics and definition of Factorial Pascal Definition

- (1.39) Let X be a set with n elements.
  - (i) To count the number of subsets of X we need to count all possible subsets of size r where  $0 \le r \le n$ , i.e., subsets of size 0 (empty set), subset of size one (singleton), ..., and finally the set X itself. This is exactly the different ways of choosing zero elements from the set, then choosing a single element and so on, and then summing these numbers. It was proven in the last homework that  $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \ldots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n$ , which is the expression we need. Hence, the number of subsets of X is  $2^n$ . Q.E.D.
  - (ii) Counting the number of subsets of X is equivalent to counting the number of different bit strings of length n. To see why this is the case, first arrange the elements of the set X, in a linear manner. To construct a subset, take a bit string of length n. A 1 in position k in the bit string indicates that the kth element of X should be included in the subset. Likewise, a 0 indicates that the element should be excluded. All possible subset of X can be constructed in this way. Hence, to count the possible number of subset it suffices to count the number of bit strings.

To construct a bit string of length n we can choose two possibilities for each position, i.e., either a 1 or 0. Hence, there are  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2 = 2^n$  bit strings or subsets of X.

- (1.40) There are  $\binom{45}{5}$  different lottery tickets. To be the winner is to have one ticket, hence  $\frac{1}{\binom{45}{5}} = 8.18492027 \cdot 10^{-7}$  is the probability of winning.
- (1.43) First, note that the number *i* in polar coordinates is just:  $(1, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . We want to find a complex number *z* such that  $z^2 = i$ , i.e.,  $(r, \theta)^2 = (1, \frac{\pi}{2})$ . By DeMoivre's theorem,  $(r, \theta)^2 = (r^2, 2 \cdot \theta)$ , Hence:

$$(r^2, 2 \cdot \theta) = \begin{cases} r^2 = 1 & \Rightarrow r = 1 \text{ we take just the positive one} \\ 2\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k & \text{for } k = 0, 1 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\pi}{4} \text{ OR } \theta = \frac{5\pi}{4} \end{cases}$$

The two 2-roots of *i* in polar coordinates are  $(1, \frac{\pi}{4})$  and  $(1, \frac{5\pi}{4})$ . We can easily check that, by De Moivre's Theorem,  $(1, \frac{\pi}{4})^2 = (1^2, 2\frac{\pi}{4}) = (1, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and  $(1, \frac{5\pi}{4})^2 = (1^2, 2\frac{5\pi}{4}) = (1, \frac{\pi}{2})$ 

(i) We want to show that  $w^n \stackrel{?}{=} z$ .

$$\begin{split} w^n &= (\sqrt[n]{r}[\cos(\theta/n) + isin(\theta/n)])^n & \text{By definition of } w \\ &= (\sqrt[n]{r}n^n[\cos(\frac{\theta}{n}n) + isin(\frac{\theta}{n}n)] & \text{De Moivre's Theorem and law of exponent} \\ &= r[\cos(\theta) + isin(\theta)] & \text{Arithmetic} \\ &= z & \text{By definition of } z \end{split}$$

(ii) Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive nth root of unity. We want to show that  $(\zeta^k w)^n \stackrel{?}{=} z$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta^k w)^n &= (\zeta^k)^n w^n & \text{Exponent law} \\ &= (\zeta^n)^k z & \text{Exponent law and } w^n = z \text{ by part (i)} \\ &= 1^k z & \text{By hypothesis} \\ &= z & \text{Q.E.D} \end{aligned}$$

(1.45) To find the nth root of a complex number we use De Moivre's Theorem and set if  $z = r(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$  then

$$\sqrt[n]{z} = \sqrt[n]{r} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{n}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi k}{n}\right) \right]$$

For k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. Equivalently, we could have used 360° instead of  $2\pi$ 

(i) If z = 8 + 15i, then  $r = \sqrt{8^2 + 15^2} = \sqrt{64 + 225} = \sqrt{289} = 17$ . By potting this number in the complex plane and use basic trigonometry, we find that  $\theta = \arctan(\frac{15}{8}) = 61.927^{\circ}$ . Hence, the two roots are:

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 0}{2}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 0}{2}\right) \right] &= \sqrt{17} \left[ \cos(30.9635^{\circ}) + isin(30.9635^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= (\sqrt{17}, 30.9635^{\circ}) \\ \sqrt{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 1}{2}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 1}{2}\right) \right] &= \sqrt{17} \left[ \cos(210.9635^{\circ}) + isin(210.9635^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= (\sqrt{17}, 210.9635^{\circ}) \end{split}$$

(ii) Applying the same reasoning as before:

$$\begin{split} \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 0}{4}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 0}{4}\right) \right] &= \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos(15.48175^{\circ}) + isin(15.48175^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= \left(\sqrt[4]{17}, 15.48175^{\circ}\right) \\ \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 1}{4}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 1}{4}\right) \right] &= \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos(105.48175^{\circ}) + isin(105.48175^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= \left(\sqrt[4]{17}, 105.48175^{\circ}\right) \\ \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 2}{4}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 2}{4}\right) \right] &= \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos(195.48175^{\circ}) + isin(195.48175^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= \left(\sqrt[4]{17}, 195.48175^{\circ}\right) \\ \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 3}{4}\right) + isin\left(\frac{61.927^{\circ} + 360^{\circ} \cdot 3}{4}\right) \right] &= \sqrt[4]{17} \left[ \cos(285.48175^{\circ}) + isin(285.48175^{\circ}) \right] \\ &= \left(\sqrt[4]{17}, 285.48175^{\circ}\right) \end{split}$$

1. Show the triangle inequality: for any complex numbers z and w,  $|z + w| \stackrel{?}{\leq} |z| + |w|$ . **Proof:** Let  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{split} |z+w|^2 &= (z+w)\overline{(z+w)} & \text{By definition of multiplication of complex conjugates} \\ &= (z+w)(\bar{z}+\bar{w}) & \text{Properties of conjugation} \\ &= z\bar{z}+z\bar{w}+w\bar{z}+w\bar{w} & \text{Distributivity} \\ &= |z|^2+|w|^2+z\bar{w}+\bar{z}\bar{w} & \text{Complex conjugation and multiplication} \\ &= |z|^2+|w|^2+2\cdot RealPart(z\bar{w}) & \text{Since summing a number by its conjugate eliminates de complex part} \\ &\leq |z|^2+|w|^2+2|z\bar{w}| & \text{Absolute values are at least as big} \\ &= (|z|+|w|)^2 & \text{Arithmetic} \end{split}$$

Hence

$$|z+w|^2 \le (|z|+|w|)^2 \iff (\text{taking square root}) |z+w| \le |z|+|w|$$