

**B501 Assignment 6**  
**Enrique Areyan**

**Due Date: Friday, April 13, 2012**  
**Due Time: 11:00pm**

For the following questions,  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

1. (10 points) Let  $T = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w\}$ . Use reduction to show that  $T$  is undecidable. ( $w^R$  is the reverse of  $w$ )

**Solution:** To prove that  $T$  is undecidable, we could use the reduction  $A_{TM} \leq_m T$ . So, a decider for  $T$  will yield a decider for  $A_{TM}$ , but we know that  $A_{TM}$  is undecidable. Therefore, let's work under the assumption that  $T$  is decidable and build a decider for  $A_{TM}$ . Let  $R$  be the decider for  $T$ . Consider the following machine:

$M1$  on input  $w1$  : ”

1. if input is not in the set:  $\{01, 10\}$ , *reject*.
2. if input is  $01$ , *accept*.
3. if input is  $10$ , run machine  $M$  on input  $w$  and *accept* if  $M$  accepts. if  $M$  halts and reject, then *reject*.”

The following machines  $H$  decides  $A_{TM}$ :

$H$  on input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ : ”

1. Run machine  $R$  on input  $\langle M1 \rangle$  and *accept* if  $R$  accepts, or *reject* if  $R$  rejects.”

$L(M1) = \{01, 10\}$  if  $M$  accepts  $w$  and  $\{01\}$  otherwise. Machine  $R$  decides  $T$ , so it will know when to accept or reject  $\langle M1 \rangle$ . Using this capability, machine  $H$  decides  $A_{TM}$  which we know to be undecidable. Therefore, there exists no such machine  $R$  and the language  $T$  is undecidable.

The mapping reduction lies in this proof, i.e.,

$$\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM} \iff \langle M1 \rangle \in T$$

2. (10 points) A useless state in a Turing machine is one that is never entered on any input string. Consider the problem of determining whether a Turing machine has any useless states. Formulate this problem as a language and use reduction to show that it is undecidable.

**Solution:** We want to show that the following language is undecidable:

$$S = \{\langle M, q \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } q \text{ is a useless state in } M\}$$

Suppose that there is a decider  $R$  for language  $S$ . In this case it will be easier to reduce  $E_{TM}$  to  $S$ . We can do so as follow: first, we reason that a TM is in  $E_{TM}$  if and only if its accept state is useless. More succinctly,  $\langle M \rangle \in E_{TM} \iff q_{accept}$  of  $M$  is useless. So, if we have a machine to determine if a TM has useless state, we can easily decide  $E_{TM}$  with the following decider  $D$ :

$D$  on input  $x$ : ”

1. Run machine  $R$  on input  $\langle M, q_{accept} \rangle$ . If  $R$  accepts, then *accept*.
2. If  $R$  rejects, then *rejects*”

Machine  $D$  decides  $E_{TM}$  which we know to be undecidable by theorem 5.4. Therefore, machine  $R$  does not exists and  $S$  is undecidable.

The mapping reduction lies in this proof, i.e.,

$$\langle M \rangle \in E_{TM} \iff \langle M, q_{accept} \rangle \in S$$

3. (30 points) For each of the following languages, determine whether it is decidable and prove your statement. You can use Rice’s theorem.

- (a)  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ visits the 10th cell of its tape while processing input string '01'}\}$

**Solution:** This language is decidable. Here is an idea for a decider:

Given  $\langle M, 01 \rangle$ , simulate  $M$  on 01, i.e., simulate two steps of the machine. While simulating  $M$  on the universal turing machine we keep track of the cell position currently being visited by the head of machine  $M$ . We essentially keep a counter of the times we move to the right and decrease the number if we move to the left, until we reach zero in which case we know we are on the first position. If we ever find the counter to indicate that we are in cell 10, then *accept*, else we *reject*.

- (b)  $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and '111'} \in L(M)\}$

**Solution:** Using Rice’s theorem: first, it is obvious that there exists machines that accept 111 and others that don’t. In particular, we can always construct a machine that accept only 111 and reject everything else and another that accepts everything except 111 (this is a finite string, so constructing these machines is equivalent to constructing a DFA and we know that  $\text{DFA} \subset \text{TM}$ ).

Now, suppose we have two machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ . The following holds:

$$\langle M_1 \rangle \text{ possesses property } P \iff \langle M_1 \rangle \text{ such that } 111 \in L(M_1) \iff \\ 111 \in L(M_2) \iff \langle M_2 \rangle \text{ possesses property } P$$

Rice's theorem hold and we can safely conclude that the above language is not decidable.

(c)  $All_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^*\}$

**Solution:** Using Rice's theorem: first, it is obvious that there exists machines that are in  $All_{TM}$  and other machines that are not in  $All_{TM}$ , i.e., the property that  $L(M) = \Sigma^*$  is not trivial.

Now, suppose we have two machines  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ . The following holds:

$$\langle M_1 \rangle \in All_{TM} \iff L(M_1) = \Sigma^* = L(M_2) \iff \langle M_2 \rangle \in All_{TM}$$

Rice's theorem hold and we can safely conclude that  $All_{TM}$  is not decidable.